

Completed Review

Reviewer 1:

Reviewer Affiliation:	
Manuscript ID:	
Manuscript Type:	
Keywords:	
Date Submitted:	
Manuscript Title:	
Date Assigned:	
Date Review Returned:	
M-Score for this manuscript:	

Questionnaire	Yes	No	Not applicable
Does the manuscript contain new and significant information to justify publication?			
Does the Abstract (Summary) clearly and accurately describe the content of the article?			
Is the problem significant and concisely stated?			
Are the methods described comprehensively?			
Are the interpretations and conclusions justified by the results?			
Is adequate reference made to other work in the field?			
Is the language acceptable?			
Please rate the priority for publishing this article (1 is the highest priority, 10 is the lowest priority)			

Manuscript Structure

Length of article is:

Number of tables is:

Number of figures is:

Please state any conflict(s) of interest that you have in relation to the review of this paper (state "none" if this is not applicable).

Rating	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	Poor
Interest					
Quality					
Originality					
Overall					

req Recommendation

Accept

Minor Revision

Major Revision

Reject

Would you be willing to review a revision of this manuscript?

Yes

No

Comments

Confidential Comments to the Editor

Comments to the Author

Use the below rating options to rate the reviewer on this submitted review. The rating options have corresponding numerical values which are averaged to determine an "R-Score" for reviewers. The "R-Score" for a reviewer displays as part of the reviewer search results to give you an indication of past performance.

Quality Assessment

- | | |
|-----------------------|---|
| <input type="radio"/> | Review was highly relevant (Rating 3.0) |
| <input type="radio"/> | Review was sufficient (Rating 2.0) |
| <input type="radio"/> | Review was below average (Rating 1.0) |