

## Developmental Tendencies of Results in Female Heptathlon in the Olympic Games during the Years 1984–2008

DOI: 10.2478/v10131-0017-6

**Authors' Contribution:**

A – Study Design  
B – Data Collection  
C – Statistical Analysis  
D – Data Interpretation  
E – Manuscript Preparation  
F – Literature Search  
G – Funds Collection

**Katarzyna Majchrzak** <sup>(A,C,D)</sup>, **Maria Kamrowska-Nowak** <sup>(B,G)</sup>,  
**Krzysztof Byzdra** <sup>(E)</sup>

Jedrzej Sniadecki Academy of Physical Education and Sport in Gdansk,  
Poland

**Key words:** *Olympic Games, track and field, heptathlon, women.*

### Abstract

**Background:** *The aim of this study is to assess a change tendency of the results in heptathlon and in particular events of this discipline.*

**Material/Methods:** *In the research the authors used results achieved in heptathlon in the Olympic Games during the years 1984–2008. Top 20 female athletes of general standing were taken into consideration. MS Excel 2007 spreadsheet program and a method of document analysis were used to analyse and assess a change tendency.*

**Results:** *Mean values achieved in particular events showed that the highest results, which were above the average in each Olympic Games, occurred in 1992 and 2008. The levels of all mean values and final results in heptathlon were higher than average values described in the above mentioned years. Mean values did not show developing tendencies in the Olympic Games.*

*A correlation analysis showed that 100 m hurdles, 200 m run and long jump had the biggest influence on the final result in heptathlon.*

**Conclusions:** *The best results achieved in different events show a high sport level of these results which are close to results in individual competitions.*

*Throwing events demonstrated the highest increasing tendency of results: javelin throw (10.84%) and shot put (8.02%); the smallest changes in the sport level were noticed in sprint events: 200m run (2.94%) and 100m hurdles (3.14%) and in high jump (3.89%).*

*Big events such as the Olympic Games on the whole create favourable conditions for setting the world records, as is confirmed by the example of Jackie Joyner-Kersey with her result 7 291 points in Seoul Olympic Games.*

Word count: 2209

Tables: 5

Figures: -

References: 17

Received: June 2010

Accepted: November 2010

Published: December 2010

**Address for correspondence:**

Dr Katarzyna Majchrzak

Academy of Physical Education and Sport, Department of Physiology, 80-336 Gdańsk, Poland, ul. K. Górskiego 1

Phone: +4858 554-71-29, e-mail: km.majchrzak@gmail.com

## **Introduction**

Multi-sport athletics is a very interesting scientific issue because of its complexity with reference to fitness and coordination areas.

An increase in interest in multi-sport athletics occurred in the 1960s and the 1970s. In this period works of Finnish scientists [1] became an inspiration to undertake analyses of male athletics based on wider and more sophisticated sport level material [2,3,4,5,6,7,8]. Female heptathlon, which was introduced in 1981, made methods of research on male athletics implemented in women's combined events.

The final result of heptathlon includes a very wide range of information. Seven events could be treated as specific tests, which measure comprehensive abilities from fitness and technical areas. The analysis of information included in sport results in female heptathlon as well as gathered points are the source of knowledge regarding many phenomena which appear in this complex discipline [9]. The structure of achievements in different heptathlon events is undergoing changes. First years of a sport career are dominated by speed and speed-strength predispositions. Also the time to receive top results is the shortest then. However, at the next stage of sport preparation strength predispositions play the main role [9,10,11]. The authors also proved that longer time is required to reach top results in difficult technical events such as hurdles.

The aim of this study is to assess a change tendency of the results in heptathlon and in particular events of this discipline.

## **Material and Methods**

In the research the authors used results achieved in heptathlon in the Olympic Games during the years 1984–2008. Top 20 female athletes of general standing were taken into consideration. MS Excel 2007 spreadsheet program and a method of document analysis were used to analyse and assess a change tendency. The arithmetical mean ( $\bar{x}$ ) and range of results were marked out. To define the changeability of results a variability coefficient ( $V$ ) and range ( $R$ ) were used. The relationship between changeability of the final result in heptathlon and diversity of the results achieved in particular events were determined by a correlation analysis (Pearson's Correlation Coefficient). Values from -1 (negative correlation) to +1 (positive correlation) were accepted. The relationship between the studied variables is higher when the correlation value is closer to -1 or +1.

## **Results**

Female athletes' results in heptathlon achieved in the Olympic Games during the years 1984–2008 were analysed. Sport results of the considered athletes in particular events are shown in Tables 1 and 2. Mean points achieved in all the Olympic Games amounted to 6 217 and fell within the range from 4 913 (in 1984 OG) to 7 291 points in the 1988 Seoul Olympic Games.

Mean values achieved in particular events showed that the highest results, which were above the average in each Olympic Games (Table 2), occurred in 1992 and 2008. The levels of all mean values and final results in heptathlon were higher than the mean values described in the above mentioned years (Tables 1 and 2). The lowest mean values were achieved in Los Angeles (1984) and in Sydney (2000). In LA none of the heptathlon events scored higher than the average of all the analysed games. However, in Sydney only in throwing events mean values were higher (shot put and javelin throw). Out of all the events results in 800m and 200m runs, 100 m hurdles and high jump rarely exceeded mean values. Both in Barcelona and Beijing all means were above the average of all the analysed Olympic Games. Mean values did not show developing tendencies in the Olympic Games.

Tab. 1. Mean values and the range of the results in particular heptathlon events during the analyzed Olympic Games

Events		Los Angeles 1984	Seoul 1988	Barcelona 1992	Atlanta 1996	Sydney 2000	Athens 2004	Beijing 2008
100 m hurdles	X	13.95	<b>13.58</b>	<b>13.59</b>	13.72	13.76	<b>13.55</b>	<b>13.53</b>
	min-max	13.02 - 15.43	12.69 - 14.39	12.85 - 14.10	13.22 - 14.90	13.23 - 14.71	13.13 - 14.03	12.78 - 13.90
High jump	X	177	<b>181</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>179</b>	175	178	<b>181</b>
	min-max	153-189	171-186	158-194	171-186	160-184	167-191	171-189
Shot put	X	12.64	13.49	<b>13.99</b>	<b>13.73</b>	<b>13.76</b>	<b>13.56</b>	<b>13.70</b>
	min-max	10.63-14.39	11.58-16.23	12.83-15.33	12.29-15.95	11.53-15.55	11.28-16.40	11.59-17.29
200 m run	X	25.03	<b>24.35</b>	<b>24.40</b>	24.60	24.70	<b>24.40</b>	<b>24.38</b>
	min-max	24.05-26.89	22.56-25.61	23.12-25.44	23.72-25.70	23.53-26.39	22.91-25.46	23.21-25.50
Long jump	X	6.00	<b>6.33</b>	<b>6.22</b>	<b>6.28</b>	6.06	<b>6.21</b>	<b>6.24</b>
	min-max	5.05-6.71	5.99-7.27	5.90-7.10	5.71-6.70	5.47-6.59	5.84-6.78	5.96-6.63
Javelin	X	38.89	41.58	<b>45.10</b>	<b>45.94</b>	<b>44.70</b>	<b>44.07</b>	<b>43.48</b>
	min-max	32.62-46.60	35.68-47.50	37.58-52.12	40.08-55.70	37.00-50.19	36.70-53.32	35.41-52.05
800 m run	X	02:17.45	<b>02:14.36</b>	<b>02:14.47</b>	02:18.21	02:15.96	02:15.96	<b>02:13.59</b>
	min-max	2:09.05-2:33.17	2:04.20-2:26.67	2:05.08-2:31.84	2:11.67-2:30.89	2:09.08-2:21.82	2:10.77-2:25.10	2:06.50-2:21.97
Overall result of heptathlon	X	5942	<b>6292</b>	<b>6326</b>	<b>6254</b>	6135	<b>6242</b>	<b>6325</b>
	min-max	4913-6390	5734-7291	5993-7044	5897-6780	5762-6584	6066-6952	6041-6733

Tab. 2. Selected values in particular heptathlon events in the Olympic Games 1984-2008

Olympic Games 1984-2008	Mean value	Standard deviation	Correlation coefficient	Variability coefficient
100m hurdles	13.67	0.43	-0.726	3.14
High jump	178	6.94	0.577	3.89
Shot put	13.55	1.09	0.579	8.02
200 m run	24.55	0.72	-0.693	2.94
Long jump	6.19	0.31	0.754	4.97
Javelin	43.39	4.70	0.281	10.84
800 m run	02:15.71	6.44	-0.606	4.10
Overall result of heptathlon	6 217	309.89	x	4.98

Dispersion of the results was evaluated by the dispersion coefficient (V). The highest values were achieved in throwing events: javelin throw (10.84%) and shot put (8.02%). The smallest changes in the sport level were in running events: 200 m run (2.94%), 100m hurdles (3.14%) and in high jump (3.89%). A similar situation was observed in each Olympic Games (Table 3). In all years the highest values of the results were noticed in throwing events. The exception was during 1984, when long jump (7.33%) took the second place after javelin (11.80%). The smallest changes in the sport level, similarly to overall OG classification, were found in particular years. During OG in 1984, 1992, 2000 and 2004 the smallest changes were noticed in sprint events. In 1988 and 2008 a profile of the events slightly changed and 200m run was substituted by high jump. In 1996 the highest dispersion coefficient was determined in 200 m run (1.99%) and high jump (2.31%)(Table 3).

From the point of view of individual achievements of female athletes a lot of information is provided by the range of results (Table 4). Average improvement in the results in javelin throw was above 14.22 m and in shot put above 4.20 m. Also in sprint events there were huge disproportions in the achieved results. Greater changes referred to comparisons between individual results of the

athletes. The final scores of some athletes differed over 2 sec. in 100m hurdles, 36cm in high jump and over one and a half meter in long jump. It was observed that the 1996 and 2008 Olympic Games had the most equal level in the majority of events.

Tab. 3. Level of the variability coefficient in particular heptathlon events during the analyzed Olympic Games

Events	Los Angeles 1984	Seoul 1988	Barcelona 1992	Atlanta 1996	Sydney 2000	Athens 2004	Beijing 2008
100 m hurdles	4.37	3.02	2.72	2.99	2.87	2.32	2.23
High jump	5.86	2.22	4.89	2.31	3.46	3.94	2.56
Shot put	6.65	9.71	5.00	6.63	6.27	9.02	8.68
200 m run	3.20	3.37	2.99	1.99	2.69	2.73	2.69
Long jump	7.33	4.58	4.66	4.14	4.75	3.59	3.08
Javelin	11.80	9.16	8.03	9.51	6.82	10.39	11.82
800 m run	4.82	4.67	5.10	3.98	2.84	2.32	3.44
Overall result in heptathlon	6.84	6.06	4.48	3.51	3.92	3.20	3.29

Tab. 4. The value of the differences between minimal and maximal values (range – R) achieved in particular heptathlon events of the analyzed Olympic Games competitions

Events	Los Angeles 1984	Seoul 1988	Barcelona 1992	Atlanta 1996	Sydney 2000	Athens 2004	Beijing 2008	Olympic Games 1984-2008
100 m hurdles (s)	2.41	1.70	1.25	1.68	1.48	0.90	1.12	1.51
High jump (cm)	36	15	36	15	24	24	18	24
Shot put (m)	3.76	4.65	2.50	3.66	4.02	5.12	5.70	4.20
200 m run (s)	2.84	3.05	2.34	1.98	2.86	2.55	2.29	2.56
Long jump (m)	1.66	1.28	1.20	0.89	1.12	0.94	0.67	1.11
Javelin (m)	13.98	11.82	14.54	15.62	13.19	17.72	12.64	14.22
800 m run (s)	23.22	22.47	26.76	19.15	21.90	14.33	15.47	20.47

Tab. 5. Correlation analysis results between the achieved points and results from particular heptathlon events during the analyzed Olympic Games

Events	Los Angeles 1984	Seoul 1988	Barcelona 1992	Atlanta 1996	Sydney 2000	Athens 2004	Beijing 2008
100 m hurdles	-0.914	-0.844	-0.731	-0.526	-0.618	-0.092	-0.533
High jump	0.782	0.313	0.674	0.314	0.600	0.519	0.506
Shot put	0.653	0.781	0.256	0.514	0.536	0.378	0.527
200 m run	-0.798	-0.826	-0.727	0.731	-0.596	-0.012	-0.590
Long jump	0.851	0.876	0.744	0.383	0.682	0.701	0.551
Javelin	-0.062	0.500	-0.211	0.601	0.309	0.393	0.055
800 m run	-0.931	-0.687	-0.545	-0.397	-0.262	-0.362	-0.570

A correlation analysis (Table 5) confirmed that changes in the level of heptathlon are the results of changes in the level of strength, which is seen in correlation results in throwing events, and in the level of strength-speed features presented in jump events (high jump, long jump). Also in sprint events time changed, reaching statistically significant values. The lowest level of significance among the analysed heptathlon components was noticed during the Olympic Games

in Athens and Atlanta. In sprint events (100 m hurdles, 200 m run) and in long jump the highest number of significant correlations was observed in each of the Olympics.

Huge disproportions in the number of achieved points in the final classification were also revealed. 6 435 and 6 424 points achieved in 2004 in Athens allowed winning silver and bronze. In Atlanta (1996) and Sydney (2000) these total points gave the fifth place. In Seoul (1988) and Barcelona (1992) it was only the sixth and in Beijing just the eighth position.

An analysis of the results during the research period shows constant improvement. The overall classification revealed a huge change in the sport level of female athletes. In 1984 eighteen female heptathlon athletes collected enough points to get the Master International Class (MM) – 11 and the Master National Class – 7. In the next Olympic Games all athletes got International or National Master Class and in 2004 and 2008 the number of points achieved by female heptathletes gave them all 20 the International Master Class. Only twice during the Olympic Games history (1988 and 1992) Jackie Joyner – Kersee from the United States crossed a barrier of 7000 points. Gold medallist from Seoul (1988) was the only one, during the analysed period, to set the world record with 7 291 points [12].

## **Discussion**

Sport results in heptathlon include a lot of information which can become a great source of knowledge used in practice. Beside the final result in heptathlon we have information regarding achievements in each event. No other athletic discipline gives this kind of opportunity [13].

An analysis of the results achieved in particular heptathlon events showed that female athletes gained very good results which enabled them to compete in individual events. Very interesting are results in long jump: 7.27 m achieved by Joyner-Kersee from the USA in Seoul (1988) and 7.10 m in Barcelona (1992) gave her silver and bronze medals individually. Similarly, 12.69 s achieved by her in OG in Seoul (1988) in 100 m hurdles guaranteed the bronze medal.

The highest increase in mean values in particular heptathlon components was observed in Seoul (1988). But in throwing events and in overall result the highest mean values were noted in 1992 in Barcelona (in shot put – 13.99 m and in javelin throw – 45.10 m). The research confirms that the slowest process of development of the results was in throwing events [9,13,14]. A correlation analysis confirms that 100 m hurdles, 200 m run and long jump have the most significant influence on the final result in heptathlon. These events are determined by the same area of function [15, 16, and 17].

## **Conclusions**

1. Research results are a very valuable source of information regarding increasing tendencies of results in heptathlon in overall and in seven different events.
2. The best results achieved in different events show a high sport level of these results which are close to results in individual competitions.
3. Throwing events demonstrated the highest increasing tendency of results: javelin throw (10.84%) and shot put (8.02%); the smallest changes in the sport level were noticed in sprint events: 200m run (2.94%) and 100m hurdles (3.14%) and in high jump (3.89%).
4. Big events such as the Olympic Games on the whole create favourable conditions for setting the world records, as is confirmed by the example of Jackie Joyner-Kersee with her result 7 291 points in Seoul Olympic Games.
5. A correlation analysis showed that 100 m hurdles, 200 m run and long jump had the biggest influence on the final result in heptathlon.

## References

1. Karvonen M, Niemi M. Factor analysis of performance in track and fields events. *Arbeitphysiologie*, 1953;15(2):127-133.
2. Gierutto W, Marszewski S. Wieloboje [Multi-events]. Warszawa: GKFF; 1952 [in Polish].
3. Korobkov G. Legkoatleticheskaja mnogoboria [Track-and-field multi-events]. Moskva: FiS; 1955 [in Russian].
4. Aptekman B, Ruckich A. Etapy trenirowki mnogoborca [Stages of an multi-sport athlete's training]. *Liegkaja Atletika*, 1974;8:17-18 [in Russian].
5. Brogli J, Nakov K. Indyvidualna otsenka na lekkoatleticheskij petoboy zheni. *Vaprosy na Fizicheskata Kultura*, 1974;9: 7-8 [in Russian].
6. Cekiera T, Haleczko A. Analiza osiagniech sportowych czolowych piecioboistek swiata w latach 1968-1977. Materiały II Ogólnopolskiej Konferencji Wielobojów Lekkoatletycznych [An analysis of the sports achievements of the world top petathlonists in 1968-1977]. Katowice: AWF; 1981 [in Polish].
7. Furdal S. Optymalizacja osiagniech w wielobojach lekkoatletycznych. Dziesięciobój [An opitimization of the achievements in track-and-field multi-events. Decathlon]. Warszawa: Instytut Sportu, 1986 [in Polish].
8. Nowak L. Optymalizacja osiagniech w dziesięcioboju w kolejnych latach rozwoju zawodniczego. Rozprawa doktorska [An opitimization of the achievements in decathlon in subsequent years of an athlete's development. PhD dissertation]. Kraków: AWF, 1989 [in Polish].
9. Mikołajczyk J. Analiza czynników warunkujących postęp wyników sportowych w siedmioboju. Rozprawa doktorska [An analysis of factors determining the improvement of sports results in heptathlon. PhD dissertation]. Gdańsk: AWF, 1998 [in Polish].
10. Socha T. Socha St. Model jako narzędzie optymalizacji szkolenia w wielobojach lekkoatletycznych [A modelling as a device in optimization of training in track-and-field multi-events]. *Trening* 1994;1: 185-191 [in Polish].
11. Walaszczyk A. Prognozowanie rezultatów w lekkoatletycznym 7-boju na podstawie wyników w składowych konkurencjach na różnych etapach kariery sportowej [Forecasting the pentathlon scores on the basis of the results in particular events at various stages of a sports career]. Katowice: AWF, 1997 [in Polish].
12. Ważny Z, Sozański H. Monachium–Montreal–Moskwa. Próba określenia tendencji rozwojowych lekkoatletyki, pływania i podnoszenia ciężarów [Munich–Montreal–Moscow. An attempt on determinig the development tendencies in track-and-field, swimming nd weightlifting]. *Sport Wyczynowy* 1976;9-10: 26-62 [in Polish].
13. Maciantowicz J, Kubiszewski M, Włodarczyk U. Wieloboje lekkoatletyczne [Track-and-field multi-events]. Warszawa: COS, 2003 [in Polish].
14. Kamrowska-Nowak M. Zmienność obciążeń treningowych a wynik sportowy w siedmioboju lekkoatletycznym na wysokim poziomie mistrzostwa sportowego [Alternation of training loads and sports score on a top sports championship level]. *Rocznik Naukowy AWFIS* 2008;18:9-22 [in Polish].
15. Żurek K, Socha St. Ocenka fizycznych kachestv v zhenskom mnogobore [An assessment of physical features in female multi-events]. *Teoriya i Praktika Fizicheskoy Kultury* 1981;11: 23-24 [in Russian].
16. Haleczko A, Socha T. Struktura somatyczna zawodniczek z punktu widzenia wymagań funkcjonalnych siedmioboju. *Antropomotoryka* 1993; 9:87-105 [in Polish].
17. Zalewska M. Czynniki warunkujące osiągnięcie wysokich wyników w siedmioboju lekkoatletycznym. Rozprawa doktorska [Factors determining top sports results from the point of view of functional demands. PhD dissertation]. Warszawa: AWF; 1991 [in Polish].